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## Introduction

Fluids that move through pipes are subjected to resistance. This resistance is caused by pipe roughness, pipe diameter and length. Also pipe fitting causes resistance. This leads to the two types of losses. Major loss and minor loss. Major loss came from pipe wall roughness. When the fluid touches the pipe wall, the flow velocity at the boundary will equal zero as shown in figure 1. Also, as the length of the pipe increases the pressure loss will increase because the energy is conservative. The smoother the pipe, the less resistance. Also, if fluid types turbulent flow or laminar flow. The empirical friction coefficient only depends on Reynolds number. In reality, pipe adds another resistance. That resistance will make the value of the empirical friction coefficient and theoretical friction coefficient deferent. It is important to determine the friction loss because when the flow touches the pipe wall, wall roughness will affect the flow velocity as shown in figure 1. And because of the conservation of energy principle the pressure also will be affected.


Figure 1: Flow profile inside pipe.

Elbows and valve are types of pipes fitting. They also will cause loss but a different one called minor loss. This resistance should be taken into consideration because it adds resistance to the fluid flow. Figure 2 shows the types of pipe fitting. The total head loss for the pipe is the sum of these two losses.

Figure 2: Types of pipe fittings.


## Theoretical background

Losses in general are divided into categories major and minor losses. Major loss is caused by friction in fully developed flow in constant area portions. The effect of friction causes a decrease in pressure or pressure "loss" compared to the ideal, frictionless flow situation. Minor loss occurs when a fluid flow through valves, tees, elbows, etc. in addition, any frictional effects in other nonconstant area portions of the system can be classified as minor loss.

Total head loss $h_{l t}$ is regarded as the sum of major losses $h_{l j}$ in fully developed flow in constant area tubes and minor losses $h_{\text {ln }}$ due to fittings, area changes and so on. Analysis of the major loss and the minor loss will be considered separately.

The steady- flow energy equation gives:

$$
Z_{1}+\frac{\bar{u}_{1}^{2}}{2 g}+\frac{P_{1}}{\rho g}=Z_{2}+\frac{\bar{u}_{2}^{2}}{2 g}+\frac{P_{2}}{\rho g}+h_{l t} \quad(\text { Eq 1) }
$$

Where z is the elevation head, $\bar{u}_{1}^{2}$ the mean velocity of the flow, P is the pressure, and $h_{l t}$ is the total head loss.


Figure 3: Schematic diagram for flow inside pipe.

## Major losses

Calculation of major losses of flow through pipes depends on whether the flow is laminar or turbulent. Consider first a laminar viscous flow through round pipes as shown in fig (1).

For a horizontal pipe, the force due to viscous resistance is equal to that of pressure difference, i.e.,

$$
2 \pi y L(\tau)=\Delta P \pi y^{2}
$$

Where $r$ is the shear stress, y is the radial distance from centerline, L is the length of the pipe, and $\Delta P$ is the drop in pressure. The shear stress $\tau$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=-\mu \frac{d u}{d y} \tag{Eq3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, equation 1 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \mu y L \frac{d u}{d y}=\Delta P \pi y^{2} \tag{Eq4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=-\frac{\Delta P y d y}{2 \mu L} \tag{Eq2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the above equation yields

$$
u=\frac{-\Delta P y^{2}}{4 \mu L}+c
$$

Using the boundary condition of the velocity at the surface is equal to zero gives us:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{P r^{2}}{4 \mu L}\left(R^{2}-y^{2}\right) \tag{Eq7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the velocity distribution has a parabolic shape. The maxim............ean flow velocities are then given as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\max }=\frac{\Delta P R^{2}}{4 \mu L}  \tag{Eq8}\\
\bar{u}=\frac{\Delta P R^{2}}{8 \mu L} \tag{Eq9}
\end{gather*}
$$

The major loss for laminar flow becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l j}=\frac{32 \mu L \bar{u}}{\rho g d^{2}} \tag{Eq10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $d$ is the diameter of the pipe. This equation in known as Poiseuille equation (after J.L. Poiseuille 1799-1869). The friction factor $f$ for laminar flow is then defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{64}{R e_{d}} \tag{Eq11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is the Reynolds number based on the diameter of pipe.
Consider now a turbulent flow through a horizontal round pipe as shown in fig (1). The force required to overcome frictional resistance between 1 and 2 is equal to the pressure force, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \frac{\pi d^{2}}{4} \tag{Eq12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Experimentally, the friction resistance is found to be proportional to the wetted area and square of the velocity, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=f \pi d L \bar{u}^{2} \tag{Eq13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $f$ is a friction factor dependent on the nature of the pipe surface. Equating equations 12 and 13 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \frac{\pi d^{2}}{4}=f \pi d L \bar{u}^{2} \tag{Eq14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right)=\frac{4 f L \bar{u}^{2}}{d} \tag{Eq15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let w be the specific weight of the fluid, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right)}{w}=\frac{4 f L \bar{u}^{2}}{\rho g d} \tag{Eq16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation1 with the same mean velocity and same elevation, the major head loss due to friction for turbulent flow becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l j}=\frac{4 f L \bar{u}^{2}}{2 g d} \tag{Eq17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Minor losses

The flow in a piping system may be required to pass through a variety of fittings and bends. These losses are considered minor losses. The minor head loss is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l j}=k \frac{\bar{u}^{2}}{2 g} \tag{Eq18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where K is the loss coefficient. By measuring the velocity and the pressure drop across the component and using equation 18 , the loss coefficient K can be determined.


## Experimental setup

Figure 4: Schematic diagram for measuring friction losses in pipe and fittings.

The Cussons P5160 Friction losses in pipes and fittings apparatus, Fig 4, enables the Friction losses in a range of pipe lengths and fittings to be determined experimentally. The apparatus consists of five sections of pipeline containing pipes of various diameters and internal finishes with a range of standard pipeline fittings. The materials used for the pipework and most fittings are unplasticized P.V.C to minimize corrosion problems.
Water is fed from external pressurized supply to the supply header of the apparatus via variable area flowmeter, a venturi, an orifice, and an annular section of pipework. From the supply header, four pipelines are connected along the length of the apparatus to drain connection. The topmost of these pipelines consists of 1-meter length of $23.5 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{D}$ smooth pipe in series with a 1 -meter length of 25 mm I/D pipe with specially roughened internal surface of the tube and gives a roughness factor of approximately 0.02 . The pipeline is then connected to the drain connection via a ball valve. Fitted under the ball valve. The second pipeline is similar to the topmost pipeline, and it consists of a 1-meter length 13.5 mm I/D smooth pipe and 1-meter of 14.4 mm rough pipe. The third pipeline contains a 1-meter section of 8.5 mm I/D pipe, strainer, and a ball valve. Fitted under the ball valve handle is calibrated scale which enables experiments on relationships between pressure drop and valve opening angle to be carried out.
The lowest pipeline contains a sudden enlargement from a 13.3 mm pipe to a 23.5 mm pipe, a section of pipeline containing a pitot-static probe, a sudden contraction from 23.5 mm pipe to 13.3 pipe, two $U$ bends which are constructed from pipe fittings (one from small radius bends and one from elbows), and an angle set valve with a calibrated scale under the control wheel. The drain is connected to the water outlet pipe by a 23.5 mm ball valve. Each length of pipe and each test fitting is provided with both upstream and downstream self-sealing manometer connection. These enable the supplied manometers to be connected across them and the pressure drop across the test sample to be measured. The fluid used in the manometer is either water or mercury.

## Experimental procedure

1- Connect the inlet of the variable area flow meter to an external pressurized supply.
2- Connect the water outlet from the drain valve to a suitable drain.
3- Open all the valves on the unit except the vent valve.
4- Turn on the water supply and allow water to flow through the apparatus.
5- Close the water outlet valve slightly to provide some back pressure.
6- Open the vent valve and allow air to escape until water starts to flow through the valve, then close the valve.

7- Press down the center spindle of the non-return manometer connection fitted opposite the pilot-static probe entry and allow any trapped air to escape.

8- Close the angle seat valve.
9- Close the ball valves on the other pipelines starting at the bottom and working up to the top.

10- Open the ball valve on the line containing the component required for the test.
11- Adjust the water flow rate to that required for the test. When requiring high flow rates use the large 18 mm diameter orifice.

## Using the water manometers:

1- Hook the manometers on the manometer rail adjacent to the component under test
2- Connect the two inlet pipes of the manometer to the required tapping's.
3- Open the vent valve and open the balancing valve on the manometer and vent the manometer tubes of all air.

4- Close the vent valve.
5- Fix the pressures pump onto the manometer and pressurize the manometer until the water levels in the tubes are at the zero level.

6- Close the balancing valve.
7- Measure the pressure drop across the two tapping's by the difference of height.

8- If required, the pressurization of the manometers can be released by opening the vent valve.

## Using the Mercury Manometer:

1- Hook the manometer on the rail adjacent to the component under test.
2- Connect the inlet pipes of the manometer to the required tapping's.
3- Open the two transport valves on the manometer tubes.
4- Open the vent valve fully and vent all the air from the manometer tubes and connecting pipes.

5- Half close the valve and check whether the two mercury columns are of the same height.

6- Completely close the vent valve.
7- Measure the difference in the height of the two columns.
8- To convert this height into an equivalent height of water multiply the height of mercury by 12.6 this figure considers the effects of the two water columns above the mercury.

## Results and discussion

This experiment focused on measuring the major friction coefficient which occurs because of the natural type of surface. And measuring the minor loss in pipe which caused of pipe fitting. Table (1) shows the results of the experiment runs to measure the pressure drop for smooth pipes with different diameters. The first column represents volume flow rate in [L/min]. While the second represents the same flow rate as $\left[\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right]$. The third column represents the average velocity of the fluid. The fourth column represents the pressure drop in $\left[\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$. The fifth column represents Reynolds number. The sixth column represents the experimental friction coefficient, and the last column represents theoretical friction.

| Smooth Pipe, Diameter = 10 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow Rate |  | Average velocity | Pressure Drop | Reynolds Number | Exp. Friction Factor | Friction Factor |
| $[\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}]$ | $\left[\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right]$ | $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$ | $\left[\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (Re) | (fexp) | (femp) |


| 33 | 0.00055 | 7.00282 | 4630 | 70028.2 | 0.018524 | 0.019425 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 0.00047 | 5.98423 | 3438 | 59842.3 | 0.018836 | 0.020204 |
| 24 | 0.0004 | 5.09296 | 2621 | 50929.6 | 0.019826 | 0.021035 |
| 20 | 0.00033 | 4.20169 | 1934 | 42016.9 | 0.021494 | 0.022071 |
| 16 | 0.00027 | 3.43775 | 1315 | 34377.5 | 0.021831 | 0.023207 |
| 12 | 0.0002 | 2.54648 | 817 | 25464.8 | 0.024720 | 0.025015 |
| Smooth Pipe, Diameter $=17 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Eq 2 |
| Flow Rate |  | Average velocity | Pressure Drop | Reynolds Number | Exp. Friction Factor | Friction Factor |
| [L/min] | [m ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ] | [m/s] | [ $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (Re) | ( $\mathrm{fexp}^{\text {) }}$ | ( femp ) |
| 72 | 0.0012 | 5.28681 | 2037 | 89875.77 | 0.024308 | 0.018251 |
| 60 | 0.001 | 4.40567 | 1332 | 74896.39 | 0.022889 | 0.019102 |
| 50 | 0.00083 | 3.65671 | 1016 | 62164.07 | 0.025343 | 0.020013 |
| 40 | 0.00067 | 2.9518 | 680 | 50180.6 | 0.026031 | 0.021113 |
| 30 | 0.0005 | 2.20284 | 392 | 37448.28 | 0.026944 | 0.022716 |
| 20 | 0.00033 | 1.45387 | 182 | 24715.79 | 0.028719 | 0.025202 |
| Rough Pipe, Diameter $=17 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Eq 3 |
| Flow Rate |  | Average velocity | Pressure Drop | Reynolds Number | Exp. Friction Factor | Pipe Roughness Ratio |
| [L/min] | [m ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ] | [m/s] | [ $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (Re) | (fexp) | ( $\varepsilon / \mathrm{D}$ ) |
| 47 | 0.00078 | 3.45111 | 10138 | 58668.87 | 0.283911 | 0.011726 |
| 40 | 0.00067 | 2.93712 | 7283 | 49931.04 | 0.281588 | 0.011726 |
| 34 | 0.00057 | 2.49655 | 5250 | 42441.35 | 0.280948 | 0.011726 |
| 28 | 0.00047 | 2.05598 | 3556 | 34951.66 | 0.28059 | 0.011726 |
| 22 | 0.00037 | 1.61541 | 2230 | 27461.97 | 0.285028 | 0.011726 |
| 16 | 0.00027 | 1.17485 | 1165 | 19972.45 | 0.281519 | 0.011726 |

Figure 5 shows the relation between the friction factor and Reynold's number for both diameters experimentally and theoretically. It can be seen that as Reynolds number increases the friction factor decreases, and the bigger the diameter the greater the friction factor. Also, the pressure drop increases as the flow rate is increase, it is noticed that 17mm diameter smooth pipe has the highest flow rate value and the lowest pressure drop. Moreover, the $10-\mathrm{mm}$ diameter smooth pipe has the lowest flow rate value. And this relates to the inlet cross sectional area of each type. Furthermore, the experimental friction factor for $17-\mathrm{mm}$-diameter is larger than the empirical one and the experimental friction factor
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for the $10-\mathrm{mm}$-diameter is smaller than the empirical one.


Figure 5: Comparison of smooth pipes.

Figure 6 represents the relation between the experimental friction factor for both rough and smooth pipes with a constant diameter $\mathrm{d}=17 \mathrm{~mm}$ versus Reynolds number


Figure 6: Comparison of smooth pipe and rough pipe.
This figure compares the experiment friction factor and Reynold's number for smooth and rough pipes of the same diameters. It can be seen that the rough pipe has a higher value of losses than the smooth pipe as Reynold's number increases. Also, the values for both pipes
are fluctuating.
Table 2 presents the results of the experiment runs to calculate the pressure drop for gate valve and globe valve at a constant diameter of 25 [mm]. The table consists of 4 columns and 8 rows. The first column represents the flow rate divided into two units [L/min] and $\left[\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right]$. The second column represents the average velocity in $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$. The third column for the pressure drop in [mm H2O]. The Last column represents the loss coefficient (K) for both values.

| Gate Valve with diameter $=25$ [mm] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate |  | Average Velocity | Pressure Drop | Loss Coefficient |
| [L/min] | [m³/s] | [m/s] | [ $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (k) |
| 72 | 0.0012 | 2.44462 | 137 | 0.449777 |
| 60 | 0.001 | 2.03718 | 94 | 0.444394 |
| 50 | 0.00083 | 1.69765 | 65 | 0.442503 |
| 40 | 0.00067 | 1.35812 | 41 | 0.436121 |
| 30 | 0.0005 | 1.01859 | 25 | 0.472759 |
| 20 | 0.00033 | 0.67906 | 12 | 0.51058 |
| Globe Valve with diameter $=25$ [mm] |  |  |  |  |
| Flow rate |  | Average Velocity | Pressure Drop | Loss Coefficient |
| [L/min] | [m³/s] | [m/s] | [ $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (k) |
| 72 | 0.0012 | 2.44462 | 1890 | 6.196934 |
| 60 | 0.001 | 2.03718 | 1325 | 6.264062 |
| 50 | 0.00083 | 1.69765 | 925 | 6.297156 |
| 40 | 0.00067 | 1.35812 | 600 | 6.382252 |
| 30 | 0.0005 | 1.01859 | 340 | 6.429528 |
| 20 | 0.00033 | 0.67906 | 153 | 6.509897 |

Figure 7 shows the effect of the variation of the volumetric flow rate on the loss coefficient for both the gate and the globe valve.


Figure 7: The effect of flow rate on loss coefficient for gate valve and globe valve.
This figure represents the comparison between the fitting pipes. The loss coefficient in gate and global valve does not change with the flow rate. Also, it is obvious that the globe valve has a loss coefficient ( K ) higher than gate valve's coefficient.

Table 3 presents the calculation for the gate valve elbow 90 and long radius bend at constant diameters. It consists of 4 columns. The first column represents the flow rate divided into two units [L/min] and [m3/s]. The second column represents the average velocity in $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ]. The third column for the pressure drop in [ mm H 2 O ]. The Last column represents the loss coefficient $(\mathrm{K})$ for both values.

| Elbow $90^{\circ}$ with diameter = $25[\mathrm{~mm}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate |  | Average Velocity | Pressure Drop | Loss Coefficient |  |  |  |  |
| $[\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}]$ | $\left[\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right]$ | $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$ | $[\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{o}]$ | $(\mathrm{k})$ |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | 0.00122 | 2.47857 | 192 | 0.613194 |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 0.001 | 2.03718 | 135 | 0.638225 |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 0.00083 | 1.69765 | 94 | 0.639927 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 0.00067 | 1.35812 | 60 | 0.638225 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.0005 | 1.01859 | 35 | 0.661863 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.00033 | 0.67906 | 16 | 0.680774 |  |  |  |  |
| Long radius bend with diameter = 25 [mm] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow rate |  |  |  |  |  | Average Velocity | Pressure Drop | Loss Coefficient |


| $[\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}]$ | $\left[\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right]$ | $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$ | $\left[\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{O}}\right]$ | $(\mathrm{k})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 0.00133 | 2.70945 | 105 | 0.280625 |
| 70 | 0.00117 | 2.3835 | 78 | 0.269379 |
| 60 | 0.001 | 2.03718 | 59 | 0.278928 |
| 50 | 0.00083 | 1.69765 | 41 | 0.279117 |
| 40 | 0.00067 | 1.35812 | 27 | 0.287201 |
| 30 | 0.0005 | 1.01859 | 16 | 0.302566 |

Figure 8 shows the relation between loss coefficient for 90 degrees elbow and long radius bend versus volume flow rate.


This figure shows the comparison between two fitting pipes. It is noticed that the loss

Figure 8: The effect of flow rate on loss coefficient for 90 elbow valve and long radius valve.
coefficient for both 90 degrees elbow and long radius bend do not change with the flow rate as it is constant throughout the different flow rates. From the plot it is obvious that 90 degree elbow has a loss coefficient greater than long radius bend's coefficient.

From all previous tables it can obtained that as the flow rate increases the pressure drop also increases. Therefore, the value of average velocity will increase with increasing the flow leading to have reduction in loss coefficient values.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, through this experiment, the experimental values for major and minor head losses are calculated. Major head losses are caused by the frictional force and minor head losses are caused by the loss coefficient of the various pipe fittings. The results of the experiment indicate that the friction losses are dependent upon the velocity. Head loss $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ increases with increasing velocity (U). major head losses decrease with increasing pipes diameter. The Reynolds number depends on the average velocity through the pipe, the pipe diameter and kinematic viscosity. The loss coefficient values differ by different pipe fittings. Loss coefficient depends on velocity through pipes and minor head losses. The loss coefficient increases when the velocity decreases. The loss coefficient increases when the pressure drop increases. The pressure drop is measured by differential digital manometer. friction factor depends on Reynolds number. To avoid errors, the calculations must be accurate.
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## Appendix

The velocity of the nozzle exit $U_{n}$

$$
U_{n}=\frac{V}{A_{\text {Nozzle }}}=\frac{V}{\frac{\pi d^{2}}{4}}=\frac{4 x \frac{32}{(1000 x 60)}}{22 / 7 x(1000)^{2}}=6.787 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
$$

The velocity when the water hits the vane

$$
U_{o}=\sqrt{U_{n}^{2}-2 g s}=\sqrt{(6.787)^{2}-2 x 9.81 x 0.035}=6.74 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
$$

The rate of momentum

$$
\dot{m} U_{o}=\frac{32}{(60) x 6.74}=3.595 \mathrm{~N}
$$

The theoretical force $F_{t h}$ for each type of vane

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{\text {hemispherical }}=2 \dot{m} U_{o}=2 \times 3.595=7.19 \mathrm{~N} \\
F_{\text {conical }}=1.5 \dot{m} U_{o}=1.5 \times 3.595=5.3925 \mathrm{~N} \\
F_{\text {flat }}=\dot{m} U_{o}=3.595 \mathrm{~N} \\
F_{\text {angled }}=0.87 \dot{m} U_{o}=0.87 \times 3.595=3.1276 \mathrm{~N}
\end{gathered}
$$

The actual force $F_{a}$, for the hemispherical vane

$$
F_{a . h e m i s p h e r i c a l}=\frac{m_{j} x g x y}{y_{v}}=\frac{\frac{600}{1000} \times 9.81 \times 169 / 1000}{150 / 1000}=6.63156 \mathrm{~N}
$$

The percentage loss in the impact force for the hemispherical vane

$$
\% \text { loss }=\left|\frac{F_{t h}-F_{a}}{F_{t h}} \times 100\right|=\left|\frac{7.19-6.63156}{7.19} \times 100\right|=7.77 \%
$$

## Data sheets

## A7 - Determination of Head Losses in Pipes and Fitting

Table A7.1 Data for Determination of Friction Factor (f) for pipes

|  | Smiooth Pipe |  | Pipe Diameter=10 mm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | Flow rate | Pressure Drop | Reynolds Number | Friction Factor |  |
|  | L/min | $[m m ~ 1420]$ |  | emperical |  |
| exp |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 33 | 4635 | 70028.2 | 0.0192 | 0.0185 |
| 2 | 28 | 3443 | 594178 | 0.0199 | 0.0191 |
| 3 | 24 | 2626 | 50929.6 | 0.0206 | 0.0199 |
| 4 | 20 | 1940 | 42441.3 | 0.0215 | 0.0211 |
| 5 | 16 | 1320 | 33953.1 | 0.0226 | 0.0225 |
| 6 | 12 | 822 | 25464.8 | 0.0243 | 0.0249 |


|  | Smooth Pipe |  | Pipe Diameter $=17 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | Flow rate | Pressure Drop | Reynolds Number | Friction Factor |
|  | L/min | [mm H20] |  | emp |
| $\exp$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72 | 2042 | 89875.7 | 0.01823 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 66 | 1447 | 74896.4 | 0.01895 |
| 0.0249 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 50 | 1021 | 62413.7 | 0.01972 |
| 4 | 40 | 685 | 49931 | 0.0253 |
| 5 | 30 | 397 | 37448.2 | 0.02213 |
| 0.0265 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 20 | 187 | 24965.5 | 0.62437 |
| 0.0289 |  |  |  |  |


|  | Rough Pipe |  | Pipe Diameter = 17mm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ | Flow rate | Pressure Drop | Reynolds Number | Friction Factor |
|  | U/min | $\left[\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{o}\right]$ |  |  |
| 1 | 47 | 10143 | 58668.9 | 0.01999 |
| 2 | 46 | 7288 | 49931 | 0.02072 |
| 3 | 34 | 5254 | 42441.3 | 6.02150 |
| 4 | 28 | 3561 | 34951.7 | 0.02249 |
| 5 | 22 | 2234 | 27462 | 0.02382 |
| 6 | 16 | 1170 | 19972.4 | 0.02576. |

Table A7.2 Data for Determination of Loss coefficient (K) for fittings.


## Nomenclature

$\mathrm{d}=$ Diameter of the pipe
$f=$ Friction Factor
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ Major head loss
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ln}}=$ Minor head loss
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{lt}}=$ Total head loss
$\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ Reynolds number based on the diameter of pipe
K = Loss Coefficient
$\mathrm{L}=$ Length of the pipe
$\mathrm{P}=$ Pressure
$u=$ Meān flow velocity
$\mathrm{y}=$ Radial distance from the centerline
$\mathrm{Z}=$ elevation
$r=$ Shear stress

