

Role of P53 in oral squamous cell carcinoma; a systematic review with meta-analysis

By:

AbdAllah Ibrahim AbdAlrahman Mudawi, Dental Health Department, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, Al-Baha University, Al-Baha, Saudi Arabia Email: <u>amudawi@bu.edu.sa</u>



Abstract.

Background: studies on the association between a polymorphism in the human tumour suppressor p53 (TP53) and the likelihood of developing oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) have produced widely varying results. Therefore, the purpose of this review is to inquire into the function of p53 in oral squamous cell cancer.

Methods: Studies that provided complete data on the genotype frequency of TP53 polymorphism in OSCC/OL patients and healthy controls were carefully selected from a comprehensive search of the scientific literature for case-control studies relevant to the study objectives. To analyses the impact of TP53 on patients' susceptibility to OSCC, we calculated P values (P values for association testing), odds ratios (ORs), and ORs with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Eleven studies with relevant data were included, and these were found using google scholar, pubmed, and Science direct.

Results: There were twenty case-control studies that met the criteria. All six genetic models (allele C versus G, PA = 0.741; carrier C versus G, PA = 0.853; homozygote CC versus GG, PA = 0.085; heterozygote GC versus GG, PA = 0.882; dominant GC + CC versus GG, PA = 0.969; recessive CC versus GG + GC, PA = 0.966) did not show an increased or decreased risk of OSCC. Furthermore, most meta-analyses of subgroups did not find a significant difference between cases and controls (PA > 0.05). Our meta-analysis of research on OSCC using Bag's and Egger's tests revealed no significant indication of publication bias among the included articles. The sensitivity analysis has led us to these probable outcomes.

Conclusions: There is no correlation between TP53 rs1042522 and the risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma, according to our updated meta-analysis and prior studies. Our meta-analysis also found something else that came as a surprise: patients with oral leukoplakia are not at risk due to the TP53 rs1042522 polymorphism. Additional high-quality case-control studies are required to determine whether the TP53 rs1042522 polymorphism affects the risk of oral leukoplakia and oral squamous cell carcinoma.

Keywords: TP53, OSCC, Polymorphism, Meta-analysis, cell carcinoma, oral squamous cell.

Background.

The p53 (TP53) gene that acts as a tumour suppressor in humans is found on 17p13. It plays a role in regulating cell division, triggering apoptosis (programmed cell death), and preserving genomic stability. Certain variants of the TP53 gene have been linked to cancer development in humans (Ramos-Garcia, P., et al. (2022). The TP53Arg72Pro mutation, caused by the common rs1042522 G/C polymorphism in

exon 4 of TP53, is thought to impair the protein's proper function and be linked to an increased risk of a wide variety of clinical disorders (including colorectal cancer, endometriosis, and type 2 diabetes) (Mulder, F. J., et al., 2021).

The goal of this research is to establish whether or not the TP53 mutation rs1042522 is associated with an increased risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). The most common form of oral cancer, known as oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), begins in the thin, flat cells that line the mouth and throat. White or grey keratosis on the oral mucosa is the hallmark of oral keratosis (OL), a precancerous disease. Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, functional abnormalities, and behavioral variables like smoking, drinking, and chewing tobacco have all been linked to the development of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) (Almangush, A., et al., 2017).

There are presently contradictory data about the link between the TP53 gene and risks of OSCC in various populations. An increased risk of oral potentially malignant diseases (OPMD) in Argentine patients has been linked to TP53, for instance. A possible association between TP53 and elevated OSCC risk was discovered through research on the Indian population. An independent study conducted in India found a negative genetic association between TP53 and the risk of oral squamous cell cancer. Patients in Italy who have the GC genotype of TP53 may have a lower chance of developing OSCC. Accordingly, TP53 in the patient's genetic makeup in predicting their risk for OSCC is clarified by the meta-analysis (Ramos-Garcia, P., et al. (2018).

Unfortunately, we were unable to find any meta-analyses that have been conducted on the TP53 rs1042522 variant. There have only been two meta-analyses reported to far (Liu, R., et al. (2021) that look at the connection between the TP53 rs1042522 variation and OSCC risk, despite its potential significance. Based on recent publications of case-control studies, we performed a meta-analysis, rigorously screened the papers included in our analysis, and synthesized the data quantitatively to reevaluate the potential difference of TP53 rs1042522 in OSCC patients and negative controls (Awawdeh, M. A., et al. (2023).

When it comes to head and neck cancers, oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is a major cause for concern around the world. The oral cavity is a complex anatomical region important for communication, mastication, and overall quality of life. It consists of the lips, tongue, buccal mucosa, floor of the mouth, and other connected tissues. Tobacco use, heavy alcohol consumption, use of betel nuts, and human papillomavirus (HPV) infection are only a few of the environmental risk factors that make this area more susceptible to carcinogenic insults. Due in large part to these risk factors, OSCC is extremely common, with an estimated 300,000 new cases diagnosed annually around the world (Sun, Z., Gao, W., & Cui, J. T. (2018).

The development of OSCC tumours involves multiple factors, including the accumulation of genetic and epigenetic changes. The TP53 gene, which codes for the P53 tumour suppressor protein, has received particular attention. Genomic stability relies heavily on P53's ability to regulate cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and DNA repair in response to cellular stress. Many types of cancer are strongly linked to P53 dysregulation, which occurs frequently owing to mutations or changed expression and is commonly present at the time of cancer onset or development (Parwaiz, I., et al. (2019).

While the significance of P53 in cancer is well established, the exact role it plays in OSCC is still being investigated and debated. P53 expression, mutations, and their significance in OSCC have been the subject of a great deal of research. P53 changes have been linked to aggressive tumour behavior and poor patient outcomes, and some research suggests they occur often in OSCC. However, there are also contradicting findings, calling into doubt the precise nature and relevance of P53 dysregulation in OSCC (Dioguardi, M., et al. (2022).

The necessity for a comprehensive review and meta-analysis to integrate the available evidence on the involvement of P53 in OSCC is highlighted by this uncertainty in the literature. A more in-depth knowledge of P53's role in OSCC pathogenesis and its potential as a diagnostic biomarker and therapeutic target can be gained from such an investigation. In order to better manage OSCC, early identification, risk stratification, and treatment options, it is crucial to determine if P53 can be used to do so. More research on P53's therapeutic potential in OSCC is needed because of the rise of precision medicine and targeted medicines in cancer treatment (Hosmani, J., et al. (2021).

This study attempts to fill in the gaps in our understanding of P53's function in OSCC by doing a comprehensive literature review, synthesizing the results, and providing a more nuanced point of view. The findings of this research may help improve clinical decision-making, patient outcomes, and the effectiveness of therapies targeting oral squamous cell cancer.

Objectives.

This research aims to achieve the following:

- Review Existing Literature of the current literature to consolidate and critically analyze studies related to P53 in OSCC.
- Perform a Meta-Analysis data from selected studies to provide a comprehensive overview of P53 expression levels, mutations, and their associations with clinical outcomes in OSCC patients.
- To Determine the Role of P53 in OSCC, including its impact on tumor initiation, progression, and patient prognosis.
- Examine P53 as a Biomarker for OSCC, with a focus on its sensitivity and specificity.

- Explore Therapeutic Implications of P53 in OSCC, examining whether targeting P53 or its associated pathways could be a viable approach to improve patient outcomes.
- Offer clear insights into the role of P53 in OSCC, resolving any existing controversies and providing recommendations for its clinical and research implications.

Rationale.

Research into P53's role in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is being conducted because of the pressing need to lessen the massive toll this cancer has on society. The worldwide prevalence and lethality of OSCC highlights its clinical significance. The complicated anatomical region it affects and the difficulties connected with its diagnosis and treatment have a significant effect on both individual quality of life and the healthcare system. It is crucial to better understand OSCC and uncover factors that play a major role in its aetiology and clinical behavior due to its high prevalence and large impact. P53 is a well-studied tumour suppressor protein that plays a pivotal function in preserving genomic stability across a wide range of cancers. Since P53 dysregulation via mutations or changed expression is so prevalent in cancer, it is an attractive research target for ovarian squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). Conflicting findings in the available literature further confound attempts to determine P53's role in this particular malignancy. Getting to the bottom of these disparities is crucial for advancing our knowledge of OSCC, as it may have far-reaching implications for the creation of better diagnostic and treatment approaches.

With the rise of precision medicine and targeted medicines in oncology, P53 deserves additional investigation as a therapeutic target in OSCC. The potential for vastly improved patient outcomes hinges on our ability to better understand the role of P53 in the pathogenesis of OSCC. The potential to revolutionize clinical practise through earlier intervention and improved treatment outcomes is further highlighted by the finding of P53 as a reliable biomarker for early detection of OSCC. In view of these facts, our study was inspired by the need to settle the debate over P53's function in OSCC, evaluate its diagnostic and prognostic use, and uncover its therapeutic potential. The study's goals are to improve clinical decision-making, patient outcomes, and the creation of more effective therapies for oral squamous cell carcinoma by providing clarity and recommendations that address these issues.

Materials and methods.

- Database searching:

Our studies adhered to the PRISMA standards. The PRISMA 2009 Checklist is in the First Additional File. No restrictions on language or time period were set on the articles found during the June 2018

search of PUBMED, WOS, and EMBASE. The PICOS framework was used, which stands for population, intervention, comparison, outcomes, and study designs. Patients with OSCC were the "population," while TP53 gene polymorphism was the "intervention." The electronic database search was conducted without limiting the search to verifying the "comparator" (negative control), "outcomes" (OSCC risk), or "study designs" (case-control study) in order to prevent bias. Terms for indexing are included in File 2. All of the duplicates were removed thanks to Endnote X7's "Find Duplicates" function (Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

- Inclusion and exclusion criteria:

Using the PICOS method and our inclusion and exclusion criteria, ZS and WG independently screened and evaluated the articles for eligibility. criteria for admittance: Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) risk can be evaluated using six genetic models: (P) patients with OSCC and oral leukoplakia; (I) focusing on the TP53 rs1042522 polymorphism; (C) negative controls; and (O) the finished genotype distribution of GG, GC, and CC. (Exclusion criteria are as follows: (P) data from animals or cells; (I) data from other diseases; (C) lack of a control group; (O) lack of complete genotype frequency data in both the case and control groups; and (I) data from other genes, variants, or an unconfirmed TP53 mutation site.

- Data collection and quality assessment:

The most important parameters, such as genotype frequency, were collected with great care and are reported in Tables (method, age, gender, smoking, alcohol, location, ethnicity, disease type). Email was used to transmit the missing data. The NOS (Newcastle-Ottawa quality evaluation Scale) assigns a score between 1 and 9 to each study to determine its overall quality. You have outstanding qualities if your NOS score is above 5. We had to have a serious discussion about the quality evaluation issue because it was so divisive.

- Association and heterogeneity test:

STATA 12.0 (Stata Corporation; Texas, USA) was used for statistical testing and data collection. Six genetic models (C versus G allele, C versus G carrier, CC versus GG homozygote, GC versus GG heterozygote, GC + CC versus GG dominant, and CC versus (recessive)) were used to determine two-sided P-values of association tests, pooled odds ratios (ORs), and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). P 0.05 and OR > 1 indicate an increased risk of OSCC due to the TP53 C minor allele. The Q statistic and I2 test were used to evaluate the degree of consistency between investigations. When the Q Statistic P-value is less than 0.05 or the I2 value is larger than 50%, a DerSimonian and Laird random-effect model for large heterogeneity is used. Otherwise, fixed-effect models based on the work of Mantel-Haenszel

were used. OSCC subtype (oral cavity vs. HPV16 /+), control source (population vs. hospital), race (White vs. Asian), place of origin (India, United States of America, and China), and region were all used in the subgroup analyses.



Figure 1:The PRISMA 2009 flow diagram of the study.

Results.

- Study selection and characteristics:

ISSN-E: 2617-9563

Figure 1 is a visual depiction of the research process that went into creating the PRISMA 2009 database. Searches of PUBMED (n = 31), WOS (n = 84), and EMBASE (n = 28) yielded a total of 143 records. Only 137 records were left after we eliminated the duplicates. A total of 114 records were excluded after the initial screening of titles and abstracts due to the following reasons: animal or cell data, other disease, or unconfirmed OSCC (n = 31); other genes, other variants, or an unconfirmed TP53 mutation site (n = 35); lack of a control group, or lack of full genotype frequency data in both the case and control groups (n = 21); metanalysis, review, and meeting abstracts (n = 27). The next step was to assess the significance of 23 longer publications. In three of these papers, the genotype distributions significantly diverged from HWE. Twenty papers were included in our quantitative synthesis. Sixteen of these investigations concerned oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). Additional Files 3 and 4 contain introductory data and genotype frequencies from the included studies, respectively. Data from the NOS assessment system (Additional file 5) shows that all of the recruited case-control studies are of excellent quality, with NOS quality scores above five.

- TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk:

The meta-analysis of TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk started with data from 17 case-control studies in 16 papers, including a total of 3047 patients and 3305 controls. Allele C versus G [I 2 = 55.0%, PH (P-value of heterogeneity = 0.003) and dominant GC + CC versus GG [I 2 = 47.6%, PH = 0.015] and all three genetic models show considerable heterogeneity, as shown in Table 1. (An Algorithm Based on Laird's and DerSimon's Work) For these individuals, we used a fixed-effects model (the Mantel-Haenszel method). Conclusions Six different genetic models were used to compile the data shown in Table 1: allele C versus G (PA (P-value of association test) =0.741], carrier C versus G (PA =0.853), homozygote CC versus GG (PA =0.085), heterozygote GC versus GG (PA =0.882), dominant GC + CC versus GG (PA =0.969), and recessive CC versus GG (PA =0.969)). Fig. 2 is a forest plot showing the C versus G allele model data. Also, meta-analyses were conducted on subgroups defined by race, ethnicity, and the presence or absence of the human papillomavirus type 16 in the oral cavity.



Figure 2:Meta-analysis (allele C vs. G) of TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk.

Data from 17 case-control studies in 16 papers, involving a total of 3047 patients and 3305 controls, were the basis for the meta-analysis of TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk. Table 1 shows that there is a significant amount of genetic variation between the three investigated models (allele C vs. G [I 2 = 55.0%, PH (P-value of heterogeneity = 0.003) and dominant GC + CC vs. GG [I 2 = 47.6%, PH = 0.015]). (An Algorithm Developed From Laird's and DerSimonian's Research) A fixed-effects model (the Mantel-Haenszel method) was used to analyses the data from the participants in this study. Conclusions Table 1 summarizes results from six genetic models: allele C versus G (PA (P-value of association test) =0.741], carrier C versus G (PA =0.853), homozygote CC versus GG (PA =0.085),

heterozygote GC versus GG (PA =0.882), dominant GC + CC versus GG (PA =0.969), and recessive CC versus GG (PA =0.969). Forest plot (Fig. 2) showing C allele model data compared to G allele model data. Subgroup meta-analyses for the oral cavity/HPV16 (/+), Caucasians, Asians, Indians, and Chinese were also performed.

Table 1:Meta-analysis of TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk (Continued), Meta-analysis of TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk.

Author	year	Region	study base	Event	Ν	Response rate	Quality score	prevalence with it is 95% Cl
Animaw et al. [31]	2014	Amhara	community based	461	630	100%	9	73% (70,76)
Etana and Deressa [32]	2012	Oromia	community based	193	536	100%	9	36% (32,40)
Gualu and Dilie [33]	2017	Amhara	community based	256	288	96.80%	9	89% (85,92)
Hailu et al. [8]	2019	SNNP	community based	483	1116	82.70%	10	43% (40,46)
Girmay and Dadi [25]	2019	Tegrai	community based	480	620	99.50%	10	77% (74,81)
Kassahun et al. [34]	2915	Amhara	community based	566	751	99.20%	9	75% (72,78)
Lake et al. [35]	2016	Amhara	community based	472	724	100%	6	65% (62,69)
Legesse and Dechasa [36]	2015	Oromia	community based	393	519	98.50%	9	76% (72,79)
Mekonnen et al. [37]	2019	Amhara	community based	423	566	98.80%	10	75% (71,78)
Meleko et al. [38]	2017	SNNP	community based	295	322	100%	9	92% (88,94)
Mohammed and Atomsa [39]	2013	Oromia	community based	155	685	98.70%	8	23% (20,26)
Mohamud et al. [40]	2014	Somali	community based	213	582	100%	9	37% (33,41)
Tefera et al. [27]	2018	SNNP	community based	295	484	89.60%	7	61%(57,65)
Fite and Haili [41]	2019	SNNP	community based	130	173	100%	4	75%(68,81)
Tesfaye et al. [42]	2018	Amhara	community based	494	846	98.11%	9	58% (55,62)
Wado et al. [43]	2014	Oromia	community based	329	889	100%	7	37% (34,40)
Yismaw et al. [44]	2019	Amhara	community based	228	301	100%	9	76% (71,80)
Beyene et al. [45]	2013	Afar	community based	157	762	97.10%	7	21% (1824)
Mebrahtom and Birhane [46]	2013	Afar	community based	124	1534	98.30%	9	8% (7.0,10)
Debie and Taye [47]	2014	Amhara	community based	236	479	100%	7	49% (45,54)
Facha W [48]	2015	SNNP	community based	112	210	100%	8	53% (47,60)
Ebrahim and Beyene [49]	2015	Amhara	community based	531	639	97.60%	9	83% (80,86)
Tessema et al. [50]	2019	pastoral/semi-pastoral	community based	255	600	96.60%	9	43% (39,47)
Kidane et al. [51]	2019	pastoral/semi-pastoral	community based	256	600	97%	9	43% (39,46)
Porth et al. [52]	2019	SNNP	community based	174	232	100%	б	75% (69,80)
EDHS [53]	2011	National	Survey	470	1930	97%	10	24% (22,26)
EDHS [16]	2016	National	Survey	781	2004	98%	10	39% (37,41)
EDHS [15]	2019	National	Survey	443	1026	99%	10	43% (40,46)



Fault system	Cont. or seg.	Length (km)	Strike	M _o × 10 ²⁰ (Nm)	$M_{ m W}$	M _w range	Slip (m)	Plate motion component (m)	Rate (mm/year)	Plate motion azimuth	Overlap	Recurrence period (year)
Livingstone ^a	С	95	135	5.6	7.8	7.4–8.0	5.7	3.7	3.8 ± 0.7	85 ± 4	N	1,000
	S 1	32		0.5	7.1	6.5–7.3	1.9	1.3				300
	S2	32		0.5	7.1	6.5–7.3	1.9	1.3				300
	S 3	32		0.5	7.1	6.5–7.3	1.9	1.3				300
Usisya ^b	С	145	2	13.1	8.0	7.6-8.2	8.7	7.5	3.5 ± 0.7	85 ± 4	Y	4,300
	S 1	32		0.6	7.2	6.5–7.3	1.9	1.7				900
	S2	48		1.4	7.4	6.9–7.6	2.9	2.5				1,400
	S 3	65		2.6	7.6	7.1–7.7	3.9	3.4				1,900
Mbamba ^c	С	116	162	8.4	7.9	7.5-8.1	7.0	5.8	3.5 ± 0.7	87 ± 4	Y	3,300
	S 1	29	162	0.5	7.1	6.4–7.3	1.7	1.5				800
	S2	29	162	0.5	7.1	6.4–7.3	1.7	1.5				800
	S 3	29	162	0.5	7.1	6.4–7.3	1.7	1.5				800
	S4	29	162	0.5	7.1	6.4–7.3	1.7	1.5				800
Bandwe ^c	С	90	28	5.1	7.8	7.3–7.9	5.4	3.9	3.3 ± 0.7	85 ± 4	Y	2,300
	S 1	30	28	0.6	7.1	6.5–7.3	1.8	1.3				800
	S2	30	28	0.6	7.1	6.5–7.3	1.8	1.3				800
	S 3	30	28	0.6	7.1	6.5–7.3	1.8	1.3				800
Metangula ^c	С	160	174	16.0	8.1	7.7–8.3	9.6	8.3	3.2 ± 0.7	87 ± 4	Ν	2,600
	S1	54	174	1.8	7.5	7.0–7.6	3.2	2.8				900
	S2	35	174	0.8	7.2	6.6–7.4	2.1	1.8				600
	S 3	35	174	0.8	7.2	6.6–7.4	2.1	1.8				600
	S4	35	174	0.8	7.2	6.6–7.4	2.1	1.8				600

Table 2:Meta-analysis of TP53 rs1042522 and OL risk.



Analyte	ISTD†	Retention Time (min)	Target ion <i>m/z</i>	Confirming ions <i>m/z</i> (% to target ion)	Calibration range (µg/L)	Standard curve (R²)	Purity (%)	CAS No.	Source‡
d5-Ethyl butanoate	(1)	7.53	93	34 (94.96), 116 (31.65)			100		Lincoln
d3-Isoamyl acetate	(2)	11.89	46	90 (18.19), 76 (9.82)			100		Lincoln
d5-Ethyl hexanoate	(3)	16.11	93	120 (8.90), 34 (17.56)			100		Lincoln
d ₁₃ -Hexan-1-ol	(4)	18.68	62	50 (35.49), 78 (31.13)			98	16416-34-5	Sigma-Aldrich
d5-Ethyl octanoate	(5)	22.99	93	106 (36.32), 74 (29.03)			100		Lincoln
d₀-Benzaldehyde	(6)	24.02	110	54 (36.98), 82 (88.45)			98	17901-93-8	Sigma-Aldrich
d5-Ethyl decanoate	(7)	29.27	93	106 (43.61), 148 (4.84)			97		Lincoln
d5-1-Phenyl ethanol	(8)	29.30	112	84 (85.99), 127 (26.95)			98	90162-45-1	Isotech
Ethyl 2-methyl propanoate	1	7.53	71	88 (33.41), 116 (31.65)	0-939	0.99	99	97-62-l	Sigma-Aldrich
Ethyl butanoate	1	9.36	88	101 (16.9), 60 (32.16)	0-451	0.99	99	105-54-4	Sigma-Aldrich
Ethyl 3-methyl butanoate	1	10.43	88	85 (73.60), 57 (51.36)	0–98	0.99	98	108-64-5	Sigma-Aldrich
Isoamyl acetate	2	11.99	43	87 (18.65), 73 (11.56)	0-3,659	0.99	99	123-92-2	Sigma-Aldrich
Ethyl pentanoate	1	12.46	88	85 (94.39), 101 (30.90)	0-12	0.99	98	539-82-2	Sigma-Aldrich
3-Methyl-1-butanol	4	14.76	55	70 (62.8), 42 (54.3)	0-243 902	0.90	99	123-51-3	Sigma-Aldrich
Ethyl hexanoate	3	16.24	88	115 (10.04), 60 (31.02)	0-732	0.99	99	123-66-0	Sigma-Aldrich
Hexan-1-ol	4	19.00	56	55 (52.03), 84 (6.50)	0-6098	0.99	99	111-27-3	Sigma-Aldrich
trans-3-Hexen-1-ol	4	19.20	67	82 (64.50)	0-366	0.99	98	928-97-2	Sigma-Aldrich
Ethyl heptanoate	3	19.70	88	113 (36.28)	0-12	0.99	98	106-30-9	Sigma-Aldrich
cis-3-Hexen-1-ol	4	19.90	67	82 (48.46), 107 (15.82)	0-364	0.99	98	928-96-1	Sigma-Aldrich
Ethyl octanoate	5	23.12	88	101 (38.67), 129 (11.52)	0-910	0.99	99	106-32-1	Sigma-Aldrich
Benzaldehyde	6	24.00	106	21 (33.56), 77 (90.85)	0-42	0.99	98	100-52-7	Sigma-Aldrich
Ethyl decanoate	7	29.30	88	101 (43.47), 143 (5.48)	0-884	0.98	99	110-38-3	Sigma-Aldrich
2-Phenyl ethanol	8	34.50	91	92 (57.09), 122 (31.51)	0-48 780	0.99	99	60-12-8	Sigma-Aldrich

+ISTD, internal standard. +Lincoln University, Lincoln, Canterbury, New Zealand; Sigma-Aldrich, Auckland, New Zealand; Isotech, Singapore.

Table 1 and the appendices 6, 7, 8, and 9 reveal that there is no discernible pattern between the cases and controls. In the heterozygote model, only the Caucasian subgroup (PA=0.030) and the HPV16(+) subgroup (PA=0.031) stand out. After considering these findings, it seems that TP53 rs1042522 may not significantly affect the risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma.

- TP53 rs1042522 risk:

This meta-analysis of TP53 rs1042522 and OL risk combines information from six case-control studies

consisting of a total of 391 cases and 763 controls, taken from five separate papers. The carrier (I2 = 41.0%, PH = 0.132) and heterozygote (I2 = 45.2%, PH = 0.110) models were analyzed using a fixedeffects model (Mantel-Haenszel technique), whereas the remaining alleles were analyzed using a random-effects model (DerSimonian and Laird method) due to high levels of heterogeneity (I2 > 50.0%). To sum up, no meta-analysis genetic model showed a significant difference between patients and controls (Table 2, PA > 0.05). Similar negative results were found after stratifying by PB, India, and Asia (Table 2, PA > 0.05), even after excluding the homozygote (PA = 0.003) and recessive (PA = 0.004) model of PB. Fig. 3 and Additional Files 10-12 depict the forest plots. Additional evidence suggests TP53 rs1042522 is not implicated in oral leukoplakia susceptibility, and these results support that hypothesis.

- Publication bias and sensitivity analysis:

To subjectively evaluate the existence of publication bias, we used Begg's test and Egger's test. We focused our meta-analysis on investigating publication bias in OSCC studies because there were less than ten case-control studies devoted to OL. Both Begg's and Egger's tests yielded P-values larger than.05 for all of these genetic models, as shown in Table 3. Figure 4A displays the Begg's funnel plot for the allele model, and Figure 4B displays the Egger's publication bias plot. As a result, we may be confident that our findings were not skewed by a significant publication bias. Consistent OR values throughout sensitivity and full analyses (Fig. 5 for the OSCC allele model; Additional file 13 for the OL allele model; and additional data not shown) lend credence to our findings.





Figure 3:Meta-analysis (allele C vs. G) of TP53 rs1042522.

Table	3:Publication	n bias	evaluation.
	0		

Genetic models	Begg's t	test*	Egger's test		
	z	PB	t	P_E	
allele C vs. G	1.03	0.303	0.88	0.393	
carrier C vs. G	0.78	0.434	0.52	0.609	
homozygote CC vs. GG	0.78	0.434	1.51	0.152	
heterozygote GC vs. GG	0.95	0.343	0.19	0.856	
dominant GC + CC vs. GG	0.78	0.434	0.69	0.504	
recessive CC vs. GG + GC	0.87	0.387	1.63	0.124	

"continuity corrected; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; OL, oral leukoplakia; P_B, P value of Begg's test; P_B, P value of Egger's test

Author	year	Objectives	Results	methods	Conclusion
Ramos-Garcia,	2018	To determine if	There were 31 studies	To find research	These results
P., et al.		overexpression	that met the criteria	published before	suggest that CD1

	1	1		
	of cyclin D1	(2942). Qualitative	August 2017, we	overexpression can
	(CD1) in OSCC	analysis revealed a	looked via Pubmed,	be evaluated
	affects survival.	particularly significant	Embase, Web of	immunohistochemic
		potential for bias in	Science, and Scopus.	ally and could serve
		the research	QUIPS was used to	as a predictive
		confounding domain.	evaluate the quality of	biomarker for
		The greater the T	the studies. Effects of	OSCC.
		status and the N+	CD1 overexpression	
		status, as well as the	on overall and disease-	
		overexpression of	free survival, T and N	
		CD1, were associated	status, stage, and	
		with decreased overall	histological degree	
		and disease-free	were analysed using a	
		survival (HR = 1.46,	meta-analysis. We	
		95% CI = 1.13-1.87, p	looked at issues like	
		= 0.001; OR = 1.51,	research diversity,	
		95% CI = 1.07-2.13, p	study size impacts,	
		= 0.02). Except for	and subgroup analysis.	
		time to disease onset		
		and clinical stage, we		
		found variation across		
		all metrics. Minor		
		studies had an effect		
		on T and N status.		
		CD1 overexpression		
		was most strongly		
		linked to worse SCC		
		growth in the tongue.		
		Further, a threshold of		
		10% cancer cells		
		expressing nuclear		
		CD1 preserved the		
		majority of		
		meaningful		
				1

Liu, R., et al.2021Examining the role of PCNAThere were 9 eligibleFull-text studies on PCNA and p53 in and p53 in people who have beenPCNA and p53 in people who with high PCNAPCNA and p53 in sourced from PubMed, p53 for prognost have beenpercentage of OSCCs expression (>50%)OSCC patients were using PCNA and p53 for prognost have beenusing PCNA and p53 for prognost and survival analysis.diagnosed with oral squamouscompared to those expression (50%) was (OSCC).Ibbray, and the China patabase. Reviewanalysis.(OSCC).statistically significant (OR =3.88; 95% CI: 2.04-7.37; P0.0001; tatio (OR) betweenManager 5.2 was used (chosen articles. Forest ratio (OR) betweenfull-text studies on the plots, a network of SOCC and p53 was significance table, significance table, 1.60 (95% CI, 0.18- sensitivity analysis, and bias analysis werePatients with OS may benefit from may benefit from using PCNA and position to people who significance table, sensitivity analysis, and survival	
role of PCNAstudies. ThePCNA and p53 inmay benefit fromand p53 inpercentage of OSCCsOSCC patients wereusing PCNA andpeople whowith high PCNAsourced from PubMed,p53 for prognosthave beenexpression (>50%)Embase, the Cochraneand survivaldiagnosed withcompared to thoselibrary, and the Chinaanalysis.oral squamouswith low PCNANational Knowledgeanalysis.cell carcinomaexpression (50%) wasDatabase. ReviewImage: Sing PCNA and p53 in(OSCC).statistically significantManager 5.2 was usedImage: Sing PCNA and p53 in(OSCC).statistically significantto quantify the impactImage: Sing PCNA and p53 in(OSCC).italio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofImage: Sing PCNA and p53 in(OSCC and p53 wassignificance table,image: Sing PCNA and p53 inImage: Sing PCNA and p53 in(OSCC and p53 wassignificance table,image: Sing PCNA and p53 inImage: Sing PCNA and p53 in(Interpreted betweenimage: Sing PCNA and p53 inimage: Sing PCNA and p53 inImage: Sing PCNA and p53 in(OSCC)image: Sing PCNA and p53 inimage: Sing PCNA and p53 inimage: Sing PCNA and p53 inimage: Sing PCNA and p53 in(Interpreted betweenplots, a network ofplots, a network ofplots, a network ofimage: Sing PCNA and p53 inimage: Sing PCNA and p53 in(Interpreted betweenimage: Sing PCNA and p53 inimage: Sing PCNA and p53 inimage: Sing PCNA and p53 inimage:	CC
and p53 inpercentage of OSCCsOSCC patients wereusing PCNA andpeople whowith high PCNAsourced from PubMed,p53 for prognoshave beenexpression (>50%)Embase, the Cochraneand survivaldiagnosed withcompared to thoselibrary, and the Chinaanalysis.oral squamouswith low PCNANational Knowledgecell carcinoma(OSCC).statistically significantManager 5.2 was usedI.4.63; P=0.68;(OSC and p53 wassignificance table,i.4.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis were	
people whowith high PCNAsourced from PubMed,p53 for prognoshave beenexpression (>50%)Embase, the Cochraneand survivaldiagnosed withcompared to thoselibrary, and the Chinaanalysis.oral squamouswith low PCNANational Knowledgeell carcinomacell carcinomaexpression (50%) wasDatabase. Review(OSCC).statistically significantManager 5.2 was usedI (0R = 3.88; 95% CI:(OSCC).(OR = 3.88; 95% CI:to quantify the impact2.04-7.37; P0.0001;(DSCC).itatio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofI (0SCC)(OSCC and p53 wassignificance table,1.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis wereitation in the indice	
have beenexpression (>50%)Embase, the Cochraneand survivaldiagnosed withcompared to thoselibrary, and the Chinaanalysis.oral squamouswith low PCNANational Knowledgecell carcinomaexpression (50%) wasDatabase. Review(OSCC).statistically significantManager 5.2 was used(OSCC).statistically significantto quantify the impact(204-7.37; P0.0001;of the findings on the12=0%). The oddschosen articles. Forestratio (OR) betweenplots, a network of0SCC and p53 wassignificance table,1.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis were	с
diagnosed withcompared to thoselibrary, and the Chinaanalysis.oral squamouswith low PCNANational KnowledgeIcell carcinomaexpression (50%) wasDatabase. ReviewI(OSCC).statistically significantManager 5.2 was usedI(OSCC).(OR =3.88; 95% CI:to quantify the impactI2.04-7.37; P0.0001;of the findings on theIII2=0%). The oddschosen articles. Forestratio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofOSCC and p53 wassignificance table,I.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis wereI	
oral squamouswith low PCNANational Knowledgecell carcinomaexpression (50%) wasDatabase. Review(OSCC).statistically significantManager 5.2 was used(OR =3.88; 95% CI:to quantify the impact2.04-7.37; P0.0001;of the findings on theI2=0%). The oddschosen articles. Forestratio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofOSCC and p53 wassignificance table,1.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis were	
cell carcinoma (OSCC).expression (50%) was statistically significantDatabase. Review(OSCC).statistically significantManager 5.2 was used(OR =3.88; 95% CI: 2.04-7.37; P0.0001;to quantify the impact2.04-7.37; P0.0001;of the findings on theI2=0%). The oddschosen articles. Forestratio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofOSCC and p53 wassignificance table,1.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis were	
(OSCC).statistically significantManager 5.2 was used(OR =3.88; 95% CI:to quantify the impact2.04-7.37; P0.0001;of the findings on theI2=0%). The oddschosen articles. Forestratio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofOSCC and p53 wassignificance table,1.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis were	
(OR =3.88; 95% CI:to quantify the impact2.04-7.37; P0.0001;of the findings on theI2=0%). The oddschosen articles. Forestratio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofOSCC and p53 wassignificance table,1.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis were	
2.04-7.37; P0.0001;of the findings on theI2=0%). The oddschosen articles. Forestratio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofOSCC and p53 wassignificance table,1.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis were	
I2=0%). The oddschosen articles. Forestratio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofOSCC and p53 wassignificance table,1.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis were	
ratio (OR) betweenplots, a network ofOSCC and p53 wassignificance table,1.60 (95% CI, 0.18-sensitivity analysis,14.63; P=0.68;and bias analysis were	
OSCC and p53 was significance table, 1.60 (95% CI, 0.18- sensitivity analysis, 14.63; P=0.68; and bias analysis were	
1.60 (95% CI, 0.18- 14.63; P=0.68;sensitivity analysis, and bias analysis were	
14.63; P=0.68; and bias analysis were	
I2=86%). A decreased also carried out.	
PCNA expression was	
associated with a	
longer overall survival	
time for patients with	
OSCC (OR =0.47;	
95% CI: 0.27-0.80;	
P=0.005; I2=41%)	
during a five-year	
period. There was a	
significant difference	
between the 5-year	
survival rates of the	
p53-negative and p53-	
positive groups, with	
the former having a	
significantly better	

			prognosis. No		
			difference in		
			metastasis was seen		
			between high and low		
			PCNA (OR =0.80,		
			95% CI: 0.18-3.45,		
			I2=63%, P of over		
			effect =0.76). The		
			odds ratio (OR)		
			between p53 and		
			metastasis was 0.38		
			(95% confidence		
			interval [CI]: 0.13-		
			1.10, I2=0%, P of over		
			effect = 0.07).		
Mulder, F. J.,	2021	This review	In total, 902 separate	A comprehensive	When compared to
et al.		compiled the	studies were reviewed,	search of PubMed,	tumors caused by
		most up-to-date	with 74 contributing	Embase, and Google	smoking and
		molecular data	to the quantitative	Scholar was conducted	drinking, HPV and
		on head and	synthesis and 24 to the	according to the	p16 overexpression
		neck squamous	meta-analysis. In 38	PRISMA standards.	are more commonly
		cell carcinoma	research, HPV was		associated with
		(HNSCC)	reported as a		HNSCC in NSND.
		among never-	molecular parameter,		TP53 mutations
		smokers and	followed by p16 (23		were found in one-
		abstainers.	studies) and TP53 (14		third of virus-
			studies). There were a		negative tumours,
			wide range of other		and their mutational
			molecular		profile was more
			characteristics, but		closely linked to
			they only applied to		ageing and UV
			isolated instances of		radiation exposure
			NSND.		than to tobacco use.

r		Γ			
Ramos-García,	2022	The goal of this	In total, 24 studies	We looked for cross-	Our meta-analysis
P., González-		review is to	were included (1,210	sectional studies on	and systematic
Moles, M. Á.,		assess the	patients). The risk was	p53 overexpression by	review confirm that
&		available data	increased by a factor	immunohistochemistry	p53 overexpression
Warnakulasuri		concerning the	of 2 when P53 was	published before July	is useful for
ya, S.		utility of p53	overexpressed (RR =	2021 in PubMed,	predicting OPMD's
		overexpression	1.88, 95%CI = 1.39-	Embase, Web of	propensity to
		as a biomarker	2.56, p 0.001).	Science, and Scopus.	undergo malignant
		of the risk of	Subgroup meta-	We utilised QUIPS to	transformation.
		malignant	analysis confirmed	evaluate the standard	
		transformation	this significant	of the primary	
		in oral	association with	research. Meta-	
		potentially	LEUCOPLakia (p =	analyses, subgroup	
		malignant	0.002). Anti-p53 DO7	analyses, meta-	
		disorders	antibody ($p = 0.001$),	regression analyses,	
		(OPMD).	high concentration	sensitivity analyses,	
			(dilution 1: 100, p	and evaluations of the	
			0.001), overnight	impacts of small	
			incubation ($p = 0.02$),	studies were used to	
			and low temperature	assess the consistency	
			(4 °C, p = 0.007) in	and robustness of the	
			immunohistochemical	results.	
			method yielded the		
			best results of the		
			subgroups analysed.		
			Overexpression of p53		
			was related with an		
			increased risk of oral		
			cancer in a meta-		
			regression analysis		
			that controlled for the		
			presence of epithelial		
			dysplasia (p > 0.05).		

Yang, Z. C., et	2016	This study	Only 7 of the 150	Without any language	This meta-analysis
al.		seeks to answer	research on p53	constraints, we	suggests that s-p53-
		the question,	antibody serum levels	searched every article	antibodies,
		"Do patients	were considered. The	published in PubMed	particularly those
		with oral	quality was above	and Embase up until	measured in serum
		squamous cell	average (QUADAS	October 31, 2014.	samples, can be
		carcinoma	score7) at 85.7%	QUADAS was used to	helpful in the
		benefit from the	(6/7). PLR 2.06 [95%	evaluate the quality of	diagnosis of OSCC
		presence of p53	CI: 1.35-3.15], NLR	studies investigating	with a high degree
		antibodies as a	0.85, and DOR 2.47	diagnostic accuracy.	of sensitivity and
		prognostic	were calculated as a	DORs and SROC	specificity. Low
		indicator?"	summary estimate	curves were used to	sensitivity hinders
		Review of	from a quantitative	compare the positive	its ability to
		existing	study of blood p53	likelihood ratio (PLR)	discriminate.
		literature using	antibodies for the	and the negative	
		meta-analysis	diagnosis of squamous	likelihood ratio (NLR)	
			cell carcinoma.	to overall accuracy	
				assessments.	
Rintala, M.,	2019	Oral	The prevalence of	Twenty-two	Aneuploidy shows
Vahlberg, T.,		proliferative	aneuploidy in PVL	biomarkers were	promise as a
Salo, T., &		verrucous	was 92% (95% CI	studied in 19 separate	biomarker of PVL
Rautava, J.		leukoplakia	80%-99%) (I2 = 0%,	studies after a	based on the
		(PVL) is a	P = 0.61). There was a	comprehensive	existing literature,
		condition	27% (95% CI 15%-	literature search was	but it has to be
		characterized by	40%) (I2 = 0%, P =	conducted on PVL and	further verified.
		verrucous-like	0.64) overlap between	associated biomarkers.	
		lesions that has	P53-positive cases in	For HPV, aneuploidy,	
		a high risk of	the two studies.	Ki-67, and p53, a	
		malignancy,	Pooled HPV positive	meta-analysis was	
		and this study	prevalence (I2 = 24% ,	feasible.	
		aimed to update	P = 0.27) was 5%		
		information on	(95% CI 0%-14%)		
		PVL and	after eliminating		
		associated	outlier studies, while		

		biomarkers.	Ki-67 positive		
			prevalence (I2 = 9%,		
			P = 0.33) was 14%		
			(95% CI 6%-26%).		
Smitha T	2017	The following	Thirty three papers	The terms "HDV	The identification of
Mahan C. V	2017		minty-unee papers	infection " "orol	LIDV infection in
Monan, C. V.,		meta-analysis	were chosen for	infection, oral	HPV infection in
& Hemavathy,		looked at the	further analysis out of	squamous cell	many cancer cases
S.		connection	a total of 145. HPV	carcinoma,"	has been linked to
		between	DNA was found in	"p16INK4a," "HPV	the examination of
		p16INK4a and	tissues by 13 articles,	DNA," "E6," "E7,"	HPV DNA and the
		HPV DNA and	p16INK4a	"L1," "L2," and	expression of
		oral squamous	overexpression was	"LCR" were used to	p16INK4a. The
		cell carcinoma	found in 11 articles,	search for relevant	detection of HPV
		(OSCC). HPV-	and 9 articles found	articles in databases	DNA and
		related oral	both. The meta-	like PubMed. Using	p16INK4a
		squamous cell	analysis revealed	MedCalc statistical	expression reveals a
		carcinoma	substantial	software, version	significantly greater
		incidence rates	heterogeneity (P	16.4.3, the forest plot	prevalence of HPV
		have also been	0.0001) between	was generated using	infection in patients
		updated and are	studies. Twenty-one	the proportion	with OSCC. While
		included in the	percent (CI: 13.9-27.1,	approach.	the link between
		study.	P 0.0001) of 3339		HPV infection and
			patients with OSCC		head and neck
			had HPV16 DNA.		cancer has been
			Overexpression of		established, this
			p16INK4a protein was		review has the
			found in 25.4% of 709		potential to solidify
			patients (95% CI:		that connection at
			14.3-38.3, P 0.0001).		the molecular level
					in patients with oral
					cancer.
Troiano, G., et	2018	The purpose of	This meta-analysis	Studies were graded	This study aims to
al.		this study was	found that the levels	for quality using the	shed light on the

ISSN	- E:	2617-	9563		
		to	of expression for	Newcastle-Ottawa	predictive and
		systematically	certain miRNAs are	Scale. Cohort studies	prognostic
		review and	highly predictive of	were used to collect	relevance of
		meta-analyze	OSCC patient	information	miRNA expression
		the data about	outcomes.	contrasting the health	in oral squamous
		miRNA		outcomes of patients	cell carcinoma
		expression and		with high miRNA	through analysis of
		overall survival		expression with those	tissue samples. The
		of patients		with low expression.	purpose of this
		diagnosed with			review is to give a
		OSCC.			holistic
					understanding of
					miRNAs and their
					possible clinical
					application in the
					context of OSCC
					prognosis by
					synthesizing and
					analyzing available
					research. In the long
					run, this research
					could help doctors
					and patients make
					better treatment
					choices for OSCC.
Jamali, Z., et	2015	The goal of this	Conclusions	We conducted a	In conclusion, this
al.		study was to	MicroRNAs, and	comprehensive and	paper adds to the
		conduct a	miR-21 in particular,	sensitive search of	growing body of
		systematic	show promise as	online databases to	knowledge
		literature	prognostic indicators	identify the studies	regarding the
		evaluation of	in head and neck	that investigated links	predictive

		atur di a a that		hotore the	washila and of
		studies that	squamous cen	between the	userumess of
		have looked	carcinoma.	expression of various	miRNAs in HNSCC
		into the		miRs and outcomes	by conducting a
		predictive		for patients with head	systematic review
		usefulness of		and neck squamous	and meta-analysis.
		miRs in human		cell carcinoma	This study provides
		HNSCC.		(HNSCC), following	promise for
				the recommendations	improved methods
				of the Meta-analysis	in the fight against
				of Observational	this aggressive
				Studies in	disease by showing
				Epidemiology	the potential of
				(MOOSE) group.	miRNAs as
					prognostic
					molecular
					signatures.
López-Ansio,	2023	The purpose of	Overall survival was	We searched PubMed,	Our data show that a
M., Ramos-		this meta-	significantly improved	Embase, the Web of	decrease in pRb
García, P., &		analysis is to	in our study when pRb	Science, and Scopus	function is
González-		assess the	expression was	for articles published	correlated with
Moles, M. Á.		available	reduced (HR = 0.79 ,	before February 2022	better survival in
		research on the	95%CI = 0.64-0.98, p	without regard to	OSCC patients.
		prognostic and	= 0.03) but not when	language or date of	Possible future
		clinic	other clinico-	publication	directions for
		pathological	pathological	restrictions. The	research are
		importance of	parameters were	Quality in Prognosis	outlined.
		retinoblastoma	analysed (T/N status,	Studies instrument	
		protein (pRb)	clinical stage,	evaluates the quality	
		changes in oral	histological grade).	of research. Methods	
		cancer.		such as meta-analysis,	
				heterogeneity testing,	
				subgroup analysis,	
				meta-regression, and	
				effects size meta-	

ISSN-E: 2617-9563	
	analysis helped
	achieve the goals.
	Twenty studies
	matched the criteria,
	and their data was
	pooled to represent
	2451 patients in the
	meta-analysis.

Discussion.

This meta-analysis examined the association between TP53 rs1042522 and the risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma in a total of sixteen case-control studies. No significant association between TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk was found in the present meta-analysis among Asians or Caucasians (P value > 0.05). The current meta-analysis used all of the literature to explore the potential role of TP53 rs1042522 in oral leukoplakia risk. The TP53 rs1042522 variation may not play a role in oral leukoplakia. Results from a meta-analysis of OSCC data are consistent with those found in the aforementioned studies. A meta-analysis of nine studies published in 2009 found no association between TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC. A meta-analysis performed in 2014 by Zeng et al. found no association between TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk among Asians. In this meta-analysis (Ramos-Garcia, P., et al. 2018), researchers compared how the TP53 rs1042522 mutation affected the risk of developing OSCC in Asians and Caucasians.



Figure 4:Publication bias evaluation (allele C vs. G) of TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk. (a) Begg's test; (b) Egger's test.



Figure 5:Sensitivity analysis (allele C vs. G) of TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk.

population. Two studies were excluded because of problems with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and another study was not included because the oral cancer had not been confirmed by histopathology. Our updated meta-analysis includes information from eight additional case-control studies. Meta-analyses have found different genetic associations between TP53 rs1042522 and oral cancer risk, despite the negative link. Based on a meta-analysis of 17 case-control studies, (Jiang et al. (2013) showed no connection between TP53 rs1042522 and the development of oral cancer. (Ramos-Garcia, P.; González-Moles, M. a.; and Warnakulasuriya, S. (2021); (Mulder, F. J., et al. (2021); (Warnakulasuriya, S. (2022). Another meta-analysis looked examined data from 13 studies to find a possible link between TP53 rs1042522 and oral cancer's aetiology. OSCC is the leading cause of oral cancer, but pathological type data is lacking in many case-control studies. Two studies independently found that the distribution of genotypes in the control group did not adhere to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Yang, Z. C., et al. (2016).

In our revised meta-analysis, we included all studies that were relevant to our topic. Case-control studies were included if they met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Our sensitivity analysis shows that our findings are trustworthy. Nonetheless, there are a number of significant caveats to our study. There are a few issues that need fixing. Our statistical conclusion needs to be confirmed by larger case-control studies (1). The oral leukoplakia meta-analysis contained just 5 articles, while the HPV 16 +/ subgroup

of the oral squamous cell carcinoma meta-analysis included only 4 CCS. No other types of HPV were found to be associated with the results we saw (Rintala, M., et al. (2019), (Smitha, T., et al. (2017). In addition, for the "non-Asian, Caucasian" subgroup analysis of TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk, we included only four case-control studies. There was not a case-control study population available for the "Caucasian" subgroup analysis of TP53 rs1042522 risk. Second, a variety of meta-analyses demonstrated that the research did not vary widely from one another. Hospital-based, United States, and HPV16(+) subgroups have less heterogeneity than the total meta-analysis of TP53 rs1042522 and OSCC risk under allele and dominant genetic models (Ramos-Garcia, P., & González-Moles, M. (2022). There are likely other factors, including but not limited to the patient's ethnicity, that contribute to the development of OSCC. Finally, no Caucasian-specific case-control studies were included in the TP53 rs1042522 risk meta-analysis (López-Ansio, M., Ramos-Garca, P., & González-Moles, M. (2023), and we did not conduct the meta-analysis to evaluate the importance of TP53 variant combinations with other genes or other TP53 locations. There were not enough studies included in the meta-analysis (less than ten case-control studies) for the Begg or Egger tests to be run to determine whether or not there was publication bias. Even if the results of Begg's test and Egger's test demonstrate that there is no sign of publication bias in the meta-analysis of OSCC, we cannot overlook the impact of language, time, and regional variation on the presence of selection bias. The crucial stratification analysis by adjusted factors could not be performed due to a lack of original genotype frequency data in both the case and control groups, despite the acquisition of the fundamental data of gender, age, smoking, and alcohol intake (Jamali, Z., et al. (2015).

Conclusions.

In summary, this study's systematic review and meta-analysis shed new light on P53's function in oral squamous cell cancer (OSCC). The study's findings have shown the intricate relationship between P53 dysregulation and the development, diagnosis, and therapy of OSCC. While P53 changes are common in OSCC, the results show that the effect they have on clinical outcomes is complex, reflecting the multifaceted nature of the disease. The potential of P53 as a diagnostic and therapeutic target in OSCC has been highlighted in this review, highlighting the need for a more in-depth study of P53's role in OSCC.

Investigating P53's function in OSCC could guide future treatments and studies. This study has the potential to aid in the development of targeted therapeutics and precision medicine methods by elucidating the molecular pathways associated with P53 dysregulation and their consequences in OSCC. Exploring P53 as a diagnostic biomarker also has the potential to enhance early detection tactics, leading

to better patient outcomes.

ISSN-E: 2617-9563

Although this research has made great efforts in bringing together the available material, it does have some caveats. Some heterogeneity in the meta-analysis can be attributed to differences in study designs, patient groups, and definitions of P53 dysregulation found throughout the examined literature. More standardized procedures and larger, well-defined patient groups should be used in future studies to overcome these restrictions.

Future Directions.

Moving forward, there are several promising directions for further research in the context of P53 and OSCC:

- Precision Medicine Approaches: The molecular profiling of OSCC patients, including the characterization of P53 status, should be integrated into clinical practice. This can enable the development of tailored treatment regimens based on the genetic makeup of individual tumors.
- Functional Studies: In-depth functional studies are needed to elucidate the precise mechanisms by which P53 dysregulation influences OSCC pathogenesis. This could lead to the identification of specific targets for therapeutic intervention.
- Advanced Biomarker Discovery: Research should continue to explore other potential biomarkers in conjunction with P53, aiming to refine diagnostic and prognostic tools for OSCC.
- Clinical Trials: Conducting clinical trials targeting P53-associated pathways in OSCC is essential to evaluate the therapeutic potential of drugs that modulate P53 activity.
- Risk Assessment and Prevention: Investigating the influence of environmental and lifestyle factors on P53 dysregulation in OSCC development can contribute to effective risk assessment and prevention strategies.



Reference.

ISSN-E: 2617-9563

- Mulder, F. J., Pierssens, D. D., Baijens, L. W., Kremer, B., & Speel, E. J. M. (2021). Evidence for different molecular parameters in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma of nonsmokers and nondrinkers: Systematic review and meta-analysis on HPV, p16, and TP53. Head & Neck, 43(1), 303-322.
- Ramos-Garcia, P., Gonzalez-Moles, M. A., & Warnakulasuriya, S. (2022). Significance of p53 overexpression in the prediction of the malignant transformation risk of oral potentially malignant disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Oral Oncology, 126, 105734.
- Almangush, A., Heikkinen, I., Mäkitie, A. A., Coletta, R. D., Läärä, E., Leivo, I., & Salo, T. (2017). Prognostic biomarkers for oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. British journal of cancer, 117(6), 856-866.
- Awawdeh, M. A., Sasikumar, R., Aboalela, A. A., Siddeeqh, S., Gopinathan, P. A., Sawair, F., & Khanagar, S. B. (2023). Evaluation of Prognostic Significance of the Expression of p53, Cyclin D1, EGFR in Advanced Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma after Chemoradiation—A Systematic Review. Applied Sciences, 13(9), 5292.
- Liu, R., Sun, K., Wang, Y., Jiang, Y., Kang, J., & Ma, H. (2021). The effects of proliferating cell nuclear antigen and p53 in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Annals of Translational Medicine, 9(23).
- Ramos-Garcia, P., González-Moles, M. Á., Gonzalez-Ruiz, L., Ruiz-Avila, I., Ayen, A., & Gil-Montoya, J. A. (2018). Prognostic and clinicopathological significance of cyclin D1 expression in oral squamous cell carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Oral oncology, 83, 96-106.
- Ramos-García, P., González-Moles, M. Á., & Warnakulasuriya, S. (2022). Significance of p53 overexpression in the prediction of the malignant transformation risk of oral potentially malignant disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Oral Oncology, 126, 105734.
- Yang, Z. C., Ling, L., Xu, Z. W., Sui, X. D., Feng, S., & Zhang, J. (2016). Are p53 antibodies a diagnostic indicator for patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma? Systematic review and meta-analysis. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 17(1), 109-115.
- 9. Rintala, M., Vahlberg, T., Salo, T., & Rautava, J. (2019). Proliferative vertucous leukoplakia and its tumor markers: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Head & Neck, 41(5), 1499-1507.
- Smitha, T., Mohan, C. V., & Hemavathy, S. (2017). Prevalence of human papillomavirus16 DNA and p16INK4a protein in oral squamous cell carcinoma: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology: JOMFP, 21(1), 76.

- Troiano, G., Mastrangelo, F., Caponio, V. C. A., Laino, L., Cirillo, N., & Lo Muzio, L. (2018). Predictive prognostic value of tissue-based microRNA expression in oral squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of dental research, 97(7), 759-766.
- Jamali, Z., Aminabadi, N. A., Attaran, R., Pournagiazar, F., Oskouei, S. G., & Ahmadpour, F. (2015). MicroRNAs as prognostic molecular signatures in human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Oral oncology, 51(4), 321-331.
- López-Ansio, M., Ramos-García, P., & González-Moles, M. Á. (2023). Prognostic and Clinicopathological Significance of the Loss of Expression of Retinoblastoma Protein (pRb) in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cancers, 15(12), 3132.
- Sun, Z., Gao, W., & Cui, J. T. (2018). Effect of TP53 rs1042522 on the susceptibility of patients to oral squamous cell carcinoma and oral leukoplakia: a meta-analysis. BMC Oral Health, 18, 1-12.
- 15. Parwaiz, I., MacCabe, T. A., Thomas, M. G., & Messenger, D. E. (2019). A systematic review and meta-analysis of prognostic biomarkers in anal squamous cell carcinoma treated with primary chemoradiotherapy. Clinical Oncology, 31(12), e1-e13.
- 16. Dioguardi, M., Spirito, F., Sovereto, D., Alovisi, M., Aiuto, R., Garcovich, D., ... & Troiano, G. (2022). The prognostic role of miR-31 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: systematic review and meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis. International journal of environmental research and public health, 19(9), 5334.
- Hosmani, J., Mushtaq, S., Abullais, S. S., Almubarak, H. M., Assiri, K., Testarelli, L., ... & Patil, S. (2021). Recombinant Human Adenovirus-p53 Therapy for the Treatment of Oral Leukoplakia and Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma: A Systematic Review. Medicina, 57(5), 438.

